

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

TIMMY F. CAPPS,	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:08-0188-HFF-WMC
	§
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,	§
Commissioner of Social Security,	§
Defendant.	§

ORDER

This case was filed as a Social Security action. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Defendant's final decision to deny Plaintiff's claim for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income benefits be reversed under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. §405(g), with a remand to Defendant for further proceedings as discussed in the Report. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

6:08-cv-00188-HFF Date Filed 02/23/09 Entry Number 31 Page 2 of 2

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on February 5, 2009. Both parties have indicated to

the Court that they will not be filing objections. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not

required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198,

199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766

F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of the Court that that Defendant's final decision to deny Plaintiff's claim for disability insurance

benefits and supplemental security income benefits be **REVERSED** under sentence four of 42

U.S.C. §405(g), with a **REMAND** to Defendant for further proceedings as discussed in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 23rd day of February, 2009, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2